

# Enforcement Data

## 2011–2015 Enforcement Data\*

The data in the following charts were reported in Part 1 of the STOP Act State Survey in the years 2011 to 2015. This section of the survey collects data pertaining to enforcement of laws designed to prevent underage drinking. Data collected include compliance checks, minor in possession citations, and sanctions against retailers who violate underage drinking laws (fines, license suspensions, and revocations). Caution should be used in interpreting these data. Data collection and reporting vary greatly from year to year among the states, limiting comparative analyses.

2011–2015 Compliance Checks: State†										
State	2011 # State Compliance Checks	2011 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2012 # State Compliance Checks	2012 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2013 # State Compliance Checks	2013 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2014 # State Compliance Checks	2014 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2015 # State Compliance Checks	2015 # State Compliance Checks Failed
AL	4,977	544	4,564	418	4,477	384	3,126	20	3,781	322
AK	700	105	804	98	828	84	828	84	855	88
AZ	188	102	232	62	215	82	277	88	181	76
AR	3,012	269	2,608	274	3,867	431	2,271 <sup>†</sup>	251	3,244	240
CA	4,769	670	2,928	452	7,397	1,126	2,195	359	7,746	1,234
CO	1,323	253	1,867	280	2,119	326	3,010	380	3,322	280
CT	492	124	498	82	788	163	565	129	350	60
DE	44	5	200	55	180	36	231	56	250	63
DC	1,277	129	937	89	1,061	108				
FL	10,788	1,159	10,655	1,057	5,865	832	4,858	679	3,009	419
GA	4,349	711	4,337	753	5,343	617	3,832	298	3,655	235
HI	259	30	585	60	794	166	579	100	699	71
ID	339	84	229	38	160	19	1,000	100–150	406	65
IL	1,264	236	1,349	315	1,577	347	1,905	407	2,929	472
IN	911		11,977	603	9,978	366	12,487	655	13,102	1,158
IA	349	176	1,781	175	1,024	84	868	112	739	84
KS	608	97	729	92	490	79	536	93	479	74
KY	3,616	226	1,854	119	1,482	127	1,897	134	1,477	161
LA	4,312	347	3,997	218	2,994	289	5,785	173	6,454	1,271
ME	1,657	65	1,001	75	1,500	150			800	75

\* A blank cell indicates that no data were reported.

† Compliance check data provide no information on cases in which multiple checks are made on the same outlet. Furthermore, compliance check protocols vary by state, including the use of different underage decoy procedures and the use of different methods for outlet selection (conducting random checks versus complaint-driven checks).

2011–2015 Compliance Checks: State <sup>†</sup>										
State	2011 # State Compliance Checks	2011 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2012 # State Compliance Checks	2012 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2013 # State Compliance Checks	2013 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2014 # State Compliance Checks	2014 # State Compliance Checks Failed	2015 # State Compliance Checks	2015 # State Compliance Checks Failed
MD										
MA	1,793	102	1,975	135	2,287	78	2,686	72	2,178	63
MI	1,512	224	2,558	356	2,224	298	2,275	285	2,526	360
MN										
MS	5,493	266	37	31	78	78	72	45	78	78
MO	183	49								
MT									-	-
NE			394	33	780	65	1,062	125	721	78
NV										
NH	1,774	196	853	75	339	40	257	21	376	60
NJ					0	0				
NM		232		141	2,045	111	2,045	92	5,850	127
NY	1,398	123	1,523	187	1,208	412	1,947	512	2,370	869
NC	278	75	278	75	47	15	14	1	14	9
ND										
OH	506	241	1,145	229			1,019	218	1,008	212
OK	296	25	212	71	328	155	121	12	530	133
OR	1,913	394	1,747	394			387	73	1,778	321
PA	905	317	788	214	771	302	1,302	504	1,483	557
RI					360	19	360	19		
SC	451	64	2,094	330	1,878	363	1,571	257	4,237	657
SD	804	131			0	0				
TN	794	271	474	113			814	267	1,647	366
TX	9,794	1,058	8,021	906	9,215	1,024	8,940	1,029	8,732	1,035
UT					361	92	1,295	198	1,190	173
VT	678	67	871	98	688	53	591	50	734	69
VA	4,145	408	2,641	320	3,283	358	3,084	450	2,871	410
WA	2,442	457	1,658	359	2,777	453	5,322	786	2,416	316
WV	1,449	328	1,345	236	1,865	453	2,442	698	1,629	389
WI										
WY			0		1,398	234				

2011–2015 Compliance Checks: Local											
State	2011 # Local Compliance Checks	2011 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2012 # Local Compliance Checks	2012 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2013 # Local Compliance Checks	2013 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2014 # Local Compliance Checks	2014 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2014 # Local Compliance Checks	2014 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	
AL											322
AK											
AZ											
AR											
CA	8,551	1,207	4,443	701							
CO			1,509	107					241	19	
CT											
DE											
DC	1,277	129	523	35	1,061	108	832	114	959	188	
FL											
GA											
HI	450	103	120	24	657	124	266	55	686	86	
ID									540	47	
IL											
IN											
IA	1,333	152		155	0	0					
KS											
KY											
LA											
ME			343	42			145	16	1,469	145	
MD											
MA	1,378	118	91	15							
MI		340		577		429		288		238	
MN	1,049	108									
MS											
MO	1,941	267		278	1,808	244	1,252	119	728	69	
MT	518	114	568	144	64	31	160	22		48	
NE			283	38	235	16	1,062	125	1,224	108	
NV	3,975	791	1,696	295	2,287	481	2,329	338	1,082	237	
NH	0	0									
NJ											
NM									UNK	UNK	
NY											
NC											
ND					816	93	710	69	622	80	
OH											

2011–2015 Compliance Checks: Local										
State	2011 # Local Compliance Checks	2011 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2012 # Local Compliance Checks	2012 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2013 # Local Compliance Checks	2013 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2014 # Local Compliance Checks	2014 # Local Compliance Checks Failed	2014 # Local Compliance Checks	2014 # Local Compliance Checks Failed
OK									1,979	367
OR					1,800 and 2,000 minor decoy operations	FY2012 result shows 81% compliance rate of “no sales” to minors				
PA										
RI	300	30	325	39	200	14	200	14		
SC	6,438	933	6,108	754	7,422	1,076	7,830	941	9,043	1,024
SD			890	135	1,045	108	946	86	799	68
TN				113						
TX										
UT	2,448	234	1,580	148	1,671	143	1,307	120	818	82
VT										
VA										
WA			7	7						
WV										
WI										
WY	1,181	174	1,198	234	1,398	234	1,069	140	1,215	182

<b>2011–2015 Minor in Possession (MIP)*</b>					
<b>State</b>	<b>2011 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2012 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2013 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2014 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2015 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>
Alabama	1,058	757	1,096	693	159
Alaska	3,685	3,471	2,890	2,210	1,596
Arizona	2,584	1,301	1,713	1,085	1,245
Arkansas		640	310	67	14
California	506	688	1,045	898	3,995
Colorado	331	464	658	566	4,499
Connecticut	2,761			1,539	1,120
Delaware	45	713	156	133	104
District of Columbia	43	65			1,000
Florida	2,471	2,931	3,221	2,618	1,588
Georgia	6		7	32	
Hawaii	141		413		19
Idaho			2,337	762	3,130
Illinois					
Indiana	2,310	2,315	3,172	2,431	2,431
Iowa	1,942	3,005	3,294	2,523	2,126
Kansas	210	339	173		63
Kentucky		435	681	497	1,000
Louisiana	950	1,050	1,202	108	85
Maine		288	30		
Maryland	1,234	1,303	1,303	1,303	
Massachusetts	1,004	374	302	856	791
Michigan					
Minnesota					
Mississippi	501	550		591	
Missouri	13,097	11,247	9,039	8,385	6,436
Montana	568	2146	5,287	1,975	1,933
Nebraska	8,091	2,460	1,767	1,850	1,216
Nevada					
New Hampshire	2,136	203	141	179	128
New Jersey	156	200	200	205	135
New Mexico	226	172	88	51	61
New York		1,311			
North Carolina	4,436	4,532	1,593	14,499	11,914

\* Much of MIP enforcement is conducted at the local level and therefore is not represented in state data.

<b>2011–2015 Minor in Possession (MIP) *</b>					
<b>State</b>	<b>2011 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2012 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2013 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2014 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>	<b>2015 # of Minors in Possession Arrests</b>
North Dakota	498	436	888	368	737
Ohio	1,589	2,655	2,877	1,692	1,711
Oklahoma	1,343			23	4
Oregon	7,762	7,762	6,023	4,463	
Pennsylvania	18,248	13,355	2,415	22,434	20,233
Rhode Island			2	2	64
South Carolina	2,373	2,726	137	985	985
South Dakota	5,123	5,894	5,752	4,926	4,355
Tennessee	21	113	120	790	1,779
Texas	1,912	1,915		1,841	
Utah	9,235	9,020	154	301	2,558
Vermont	3,000	2,515	2,748	2,819	
Virginia	845			560	343
Washington	1,925		16	16	35
West Virginia	195	226	1,081		114
Wisconsin					
Wyoming	1,347	1,532	851	315	525

2011–2015 Sanctions: Fines										
State	2011 # of Fines	2012 # of Fines	2013 # of Fines	2014 # of Fines	2015 # of Fines	2011 Total Fines (\$)	2012 Total Fines (\$)	2013 Total Fines (\$)	2014 Total Fines (\$)	2015 Total Fines (\$)
AL										
AK	5	6	5	2	0	4,000	7,000	2,500	1,000	0
AZ	151	134	105	158	173	365,875	118,250	88,890	221,325	92,125
AR	247		412	312	306	126,400	188,000	215,000	154,900	132,600
CA	1,267	990	647			3,801,000	2,927,850			
CO		205	284	373			160,738	174,789	401,636	
CT	110	200	216	200	150	248,125	375,000	350,000	175,000	
DE	30	55	36	56		20,000	27,500	Approx. 23,000	Approx. 38,000	
DC	37			30		99,000			75,000	
FL	82		58	31	40	82,300		54,350	29,500	40,100
GA	708			298	235	724,300			194,000	69,200
HI		24	31	24	45		29,000	33,000	27,500	50,500
ID	48	96	63		104	42,000	128,500	69,500		95,000
IL			606	688	666	288,250	279,500	352,075	466,125	382,600
IN									436,855	579,000
IA	175	155		256	188	75,500	95,500	230,217		118,000
KS		564	73	224	244		361,475	45,500	118,550	182,225
KY	347	279	125			485,450	317,750	240,000		
LA		257				191,105				
ME	224					111,842				
MD										
MA			20	123	21	40,000	100,000	34,000	229,110	117,385
MI	2,257	775	727	573	631	934,976	482,795	459,378	334,463	379,702
MN										
MS										
MO	230	245	241	127	266	58,500	64,400	65,200	31,700	64,600
MT	163	213	66		48	71,375	80,783	53,200	16,500	77,000
NE		0			No data		0			24,100
NV										
NH	97	27	4	0	187	63,450		1,200 with 700 suspended	0	130,750
NJ		6	8	128	107		20,097	22,000		
NM						409,610				
NY	1,133	994		1,259	2,831	4,473,750	3,429,950	4,271,964 (includes sales to minor and other fines in same case)	4,795	7,328,472

2011–2015 Sanctions: Fines										
State	2011 # of Fines	2012 # of Fines	2013 # of Fines	2014 # of Fines	2015 # of Fines	2011 Total Fines (\$)	2012 Total Fines (\$)	2013 Total Fines (\$)	2014 Total Fines (\$)	2015 Total Fines (\$)
NC	181		295		321	256,150		394,575		461,240
ND										
OH	1,468			572	431	1,190,850	612,250		751,400	581,550
OK	17	96	53	131	126	17,000		76,000		
OR		223				623,002	224,383			
PA	1,839	217	382	363	589		318,050	489,915	478,425	851,550
RI										
SC		62	237	257	657			130,700	150,000	45,880
SD		123	108	81	68		141,550	101,300	81,500	97,150
TN	271	113			393	406,500	171,000			471,600
TX	505	1,111	317	414	495	1,255,700	917,600	754,800	963,000	1,183,700
UT	12	30	55	132	179	19,300	35,550	60,100	185,600	199,975
VT	8	7	8	7	6	2,400	2,500	2,100	2,100	2,300
VA	434	367	384	343	436	955,450	807,100	795,200	704,150	801,400
WA	346	300	105	397	301	192,450	173,400	60,550	249,700	179,697
WV	382	94	165	144	370	87,900	54,100	101,400	120,025	174,250
WI										
WY										



	2011–2015 Sanctions: Suspensions and Revocations														
State	2011 # of Suspensions	2012 # of Suspensions	2013 # of Suspensions	2014 # of Suspensions	2015 # of Suspensions	2011 Total Days Suspensions	2012 Total Days Suspensions	2013 Total Days Suspensions	2014 Total Days Suspensions	2015 Total Days Suspensions	2011 # of Revocations	2012 # of Revocations	2013 # of Revocations	2014 # of Revocations	2015 # of Revocations
AL			2					365					1		
AK	5	7	4	7		52	102	180 <sup>†</sup>	28		0	0	0	0	0
AZ	4	1	2	0	0	47	7	12	0		1	0	1	0	0
AR	8	9	12	3	3	23	16	42	47	6	0	0			0
CA			440					8,758			40	15	6		
CO	269	263	326	53			4,349	3,335	440		0	2	1	2	
CT	110	200	216	200	175	539					2	0	0	0	0
DE	3	2	0	0	1	90	60		0	5	1	0	0	0	0
DC	16			14		39			20		0			0	2
FL	72	56	53	25	30	371		169	135	158	3	10	3	2	3
GA	115			11	4	371			55	8	0			0	0
HI		2	0	1	1		37	0	5	5		0	0	0	0
ID	6		24		30	60		184	417	249	0		0		0
IL			65	49	69	300	331	154	321	163	9	5	3	7	69
IN															
IA	0	19	45	124	28	0	570	1,500		900	0	0	0	0	0
KS		90	1	15	25		360	2	77	85			0	0	0
KY	27	15	5			875					10	5	3	11	6
LA		0	4	9	161							0	0	1	
ME	0					0					0				
MD															
MA	117	163	155	123	114	275		334			1	0	0	0	0
MI	47	75	27 <sup>†</sup>	6	7	162	44	47	18	12	4	1	0	0	0
MN															
MS	70	31	26	45	26		217	182	315	2	0	0	0	0	0
MO	35	32	19	7	20	86	72	45	17	37	0	0	0	0	0
MT	3		0		3	18		0		32	0		1	2	3
NE	278	0				3,600	0				15	0			5
NV															
NH	18	0	6	0	59	54	0		0		0	0		0	
NJ		7	9	128	107		123	142			0	0	0	0	0
NM						281					1				
NY	59	58	58	53			1,275	1,493	1,316		106	129	32	41	

\* 152 suspended

<sup>†</sup> 14 were "fine and suspension; waive suspension"

	2011–2015 Sanctions: Suspensions and Revocations														
State	2011 # of Suspensions	2012 # of Suspensions	2013 # of Suspensions	2014 # of Suspensions	2015 # of Suspensions	2011 Total Days Suspensions	2012 Total Days Suspensions	2013 Total Days Suspensions	2014 Total Days Suspensions	2015 Total Days Suspensions	2011 # of Revocations	2012 # of Revocations	2013 # of Revocations	2014 # of Revocations	2015 # of Revocations
NC					35					674	0				
ND															
OH	1,468	75		73	56					3,640	71		4	5	10
OK	1	14		10	2	10		240			0	11	3	13	5
OR		112					856				0	0			
PA	75	30	55	18	43		116	200	72	227	27	0	39		1
RI															
SC	6	2	1	3	21	105	55	28		360	0	0	0	6	17
SD	13	12	9	4	1	24	95	93	45	14	1	0	0	0	0
TN	0				41					368	0				3
TX	869	177	141	178	676	7,030	1,587	1,392	1,709	5,862	0	11	3	4	4
UT	8	24	42	106	81	55	135	275	772	644	0	0	0	0	0
VT	17	53	29	28	22	23	97	35	28	22	0	0	0	0	0
VA	177	121	117	110	154	4,116	3,047	3,262	2,610	3,284	1	0	0	1	0
WA	111	48	19	82	70	669	314	102	573	410	4	2	0	2	0
WV	17	19	26	27	39		91	178	145	241	1	0	1	0	0
WI															
WY															

## 2015 Enforcement Data\*

The following charts present data collected in Part 1 of the 2015 STOP Act State Survey. The data entries respond to questions about each state's enforcement of laws designed to prevent underage drinking. A guide to the variables (questions asked and possible responses) is in the chart titled 2015 State Enforcement Data Variables.

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries					
State	State Agency	State Cops in Shops	State Shoulder Tap	State Party Patrol	State Underage Fatality
Alabama	Alabama Law Enforcement Agency	No	No	No	Yes
Alaska	The Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) Board coordinates efforts with the Alaska Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Enforcement (ABADE), Division of the Alaska State Troopers. The agency also depends on state and local police to enforce alcohol laws (Title 4). With four investigators and one enforcement unit supervisor, the ABC Board must rely on assistance of local law enforcement and state troopers to enforce laws across the state. License fees are refunded to municipalities that have police departments and that enforce Title 4. The Alaska Court System has primary responsibility for enforcing the consequences related to any charges.	No	Yes	No	No
Arizona	Arizona Department of Liquor	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Arkansas	Arkansas Department Finance and Administration, Alcoholic Beverage Control Enforcement Division	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
California	California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colorado	Colorado Department of Revenue, Liquor Enforcement Division	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Connecticut	State of Connecticut, Department of Consumer Protection, Liquor Control Division	No	No	Yes	Yes
Delaware	Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
District of Columbia	Metropolitan Police Department and the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration	No	No	No	No
Florida	Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, Division of Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco, Bureau of Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Georgia	Georgia Department of Revenue - Alcohol and Tobacco Division	No	No	No	No
Hawaii	The Department of Liquor Control on each island and county police departments	No	No	No	No
Idaho	Idaho State Police - Alcohol Beverage Control	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Illinois	Illinois Liquor Control Commission (sales to minors only)	No	No	No	No
Indiana	Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission/Indiana State Excise Police	Yes	No	No	Yes

\* A blank cell indicates that no data were reported.

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries					
State	State Agency	State Cops in Shops	State Shoulder Tap	State Party Patrol	State Underage Fatality
Iowa	The Iowa State Patrol within the Iowa Department of Public Safety is the agency primarily responsible for statewide enforcing of underage drinking laws. The State Patrol works closely with local law enforcement agencies to conduct projects involving underage drinking. Strong working relationships have enabled the program to make a difference in communities across Iowa. The Division of Criminal and Juvenile Justice Planning in the Iowa Department of Human Rights has received Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws (EUDL) grant funds, and part of those funds are used by the State Patrol and other local law enforcement agencies for compliance checks and other underage drinking education efforts. Some community coalitions also fund compliance checks. The Alcoholic Beverages Division (ABD) of the Iowa Department of Commerce also partners with local law enforcement when following up on a complaint or an investigation.	No	No	No	Yes
Kansas	Kansas Department of Revenue, Alcoholic Beverage Control Division	Yes	No	Yes	No
Kentucky	Kentucky Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Louisiana	Louisiana Office of Alcohol and Tobacco Control	Yes	No	No	Yes
Maine	No one state agency has primary responsibility. The Maine Department of Public Safety's State Police, as well as county and local law enforcement agencies, are responsible for civil and criminal law violations (i.e., illegal possession, illegal transportation, social host, furnishing). The Bureau of Alcoholic Beverages and Lottery Operations' Liquor Licensing Division enforces administrative violations at liquor licensees (sales/service).	No	No	Yes	Yes
Maryland	None	No	No	No	No
Massachusetts	Massachusetts Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission (ABCC)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Michigan	Michigan Liquor Control Commission (MLCC)	No	No	Yes	No
Minnesota	Minnesota Department of Public Safety	No	No	No	Yes
Mississippi	State of Mississippi Department of Revenue, Office of Alcohol Beverage Control Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Missouri	Department of Public Safety, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control	No	No	No	Yes
Montana	Responsibilities are at the local level with municipalities and counties. At the state level, there is a limited amount of funding through the Department of Public Health and Human Services, Addictive and Mental Disorders Division/Chemical Dependency Bureau.	No	No	No	No
Nebraska	Nebraska State Patrol	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nevada	Nevada Juvenile Justice Programs Office	No	No	No	Yes
New Hampshire	New Hampshire Liquor Control Commission Division of Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
New Jersey	New Jersey Office of the Attorney General Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control	Yes	No	No	Yes
New Mexico	New Mexico Department of Public Safety/Special Investigations Division	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
New York	New York State Police, New York State Liquor Authority Enforcement Bureau. Local agencies may also perform prevention/enforcement activities.	No	No	Yes	Yes
North Carolina	North Carolina Alcohol Law Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries					
State	State Agency	State Cops in Shops	State Shoulder Tap	State Party Patrol	State Underage Fatality
North Dakota	North Dakota does not have an Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) agency. Responsibilities are shared across several state agencies.	No	No	No	Yes
Ohio	Ohio Department of Public Safety – Ohio Investigative Unit	No	No	Yes	Yes
Oklahoma	Oklahoma Alcoholic Beverage Laws Enforcement Commission	No	No	Yes	Yes
Oregon	Oregon Liquor Control Commission and local law enforcement agencies	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania State Police, Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement	No	No	Yes	Yes
Rhode Island	No single state agency is responsible for enforcing laws designed to prevent underage drinking. The Department of Behavioral Healthcare, Developmental Disabilities and Hospitals (BHDDH) has primary responsibility for administering programs designed to prevent/reduce underage drinking. Enforcement actions are conducted by 38 municipal police departments and the Rhode Island State Police.	No	No	No	Yes
South Carolina	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Dakota	Department of Revenue	No	No	No	Yes
Tennessee	Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission (TABC). However, generally speaking, we have jurisdiction only over such offenses that are committed by or arise from the actions of our licensees (liquor stores, bars selling liquor, etc.); otherwise, local law enforcement enforces such laws. The data provided in this survey are solely those collected by the TABC regarding cases the TABC has investigated and/or prosecuted, except as otherwise specified.	No	No	No	Yes
Texas	Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission. (State focus on licensed/permitted locations only. Social Host and MIP handled at local level.)	No	No	No	Yes
Utah	Utah Department of Public Safety/State Bureau of Investigation	No	No	No	Yes
Vermont	Vermont Department of Liquor Control	No	No	Yes	Yes
Virginia	The Virginia Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control, Bureau of Law Enforcement, enforces state laws through several initiatives such as our Alcohol Compliance Program. In FY2014, 2,871 overall checks were conducted, resulting in a compliance rate of 85.8%. A total of 1,502 random checks were conducted, resulting in an alcohol compliance rate of 85.09%. More than 8,675 criminal investigations were conducted, resulting in 1,670 arrests, 1,154 written warnings, and 730 administrative violations against ABC-licensed establishments.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Washington	Washington State Liquor Control Board	No	No	No	No
West Virginia	West Virginia Alcohol Beverage Control Administration	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wisconsin	No state agency has primary responsibility	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wyoming	Wyoming Department of Revenue, Liquor Division, Compliance Section. (Such laws are also enforced by local law enforcement agencies.)	No	No	No	No

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries								
State	Local Cops in Shops	Local Shoulder Tap	Local Party Patrol	Local Underage Fatality	Collect MIP Data?	# MIP*	MIP Date	MIP Number Includes Local?
Alabama	No	No	No	No	Yes	159	12/31/2014	No
Alaska	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1,596	12/31/2014	Yes
Arizona	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1,245	12/31/2014	No
Arkansas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	14	12/31/2014	No
California	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	3,995	6/30/2014	Yes
Colorado	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4,499	12/31/2014	Yes
Connecticut	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1,120	12/31/2014	Yes
Delaware	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	104	12/31/2014	No
District of Columbia	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	1,000	9/30/2014	Don't know
Florida	No	No	No	No	Yes	1,588	12/31/2014	No
Georgia	No	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Hawaii	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	19	5/31/2015	Yes
Idaho	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	3,130	12/31/2013	Yes
Illinois	No	No	No	No	No			
Indiana	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	2,431	12/31/2014	No
Iowa	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2,126	12/31/2014	No
Kansas	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	63	6/30/2014	No
Kentucky	No	No	No	No	Yes	1,000	12/31/2014	No
Louisiana	No	No	No	No	Yes	85	6/30/2014	No
Maine	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Data not readily accessible		
Maryland	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Massachusetts	No	No	No	No	Yes	791	12/31/2014	No
Michigan	No	No	Yes	No	No			
Minnesota	No	No	Yes	Yes	No			
Mississippi	No	No	No	No	No			
Missouri	No	No	No	No	Yes	6,436	12/31/2014	Yes
Montana	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	1,933	12/31/2014	Yes
Nebraska	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	1,216	12/31/2014	Yes
Nevada	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
New Hampshire	No	No	No	No	Yes	128		
New Jersey	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	135	12/31/2014	No
New Mexico	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	61	12/31/2014	No

\* Much of MIP enforcement is conducted at the local level and therefore is not represented in state data.

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries								
State	Local Cops in Shops	Local Shoulder Tap	Local Party Patrol	Local Underage Fatality	Collect MIP Data?	# MIP*	MIP Date	MIP Number Includes Local?
New York	No	No	No	No	Don't know			
North Carolina	No	No	No	No	Yes	11,914	12/31/2014	Yes
North Dakota	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	737	12/31/2014	No
Ohio	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1,711	12/31/2014	No
Oklahoma	No	No	No	No	Yes	4	12/31/2014	No
Oregon	No	No	Yes	Yes	Don't know			
Pennsylvania	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	20,233	12/31/2014	Yes
Rhode Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	64	12/31/2014	Yes
South Carolina	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	985	6/30/2014	Yes
South Dakota	No	No	No	No	Yes	4,355	6/30/2014	Yes
Tennessee	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	1,779	12/31/2014	Yes
Texas	No	No	No	Yes	No			
Utah	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	2,558	12/31/2014	Yes
Vermont	No	No	Yes	Yes	No			
Virginia	No	No	No	No	Yes	343	12/31/2014	No
Washington	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	35	12/31/2014	No
West Virginia	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	114	12/31/2014	Yes
Wisconsin	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No			
Wyoming	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	525	12/31/2014	Yes

### 2015 State Enforcement Data Entries

State	Conduct/Collect Data on State Compliance Checks?	# State Retail Licenses	# State Compliance Checks*	# State Checks Failed	State Compliance Checks Date	Check Both On- and Off-sale Licenses?	Conduct Random Compliance Checks?	# Random Compliance Checks	# Random Checks Failed
Alabama	Yes/Yes	13,882	3,781	322	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	unknown	
Alaska	Yes/Yes	1,800	855	88	6/30/2014	Both	Yes	855	88
Arizona	Yes/Yes	Approx. 11,000	181	76	12/31/2014	Both	No		
Arkansas	Yes/Yes	4,825	3,244	240	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	3,244	240
California	Yes/Yes	Approx. 58,420	7,746	1,234	6/30/2014	Both	Yes	7,746	1,234
Colorado	Yes/Yes	9,900	3,322	280	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	3,322	280
Connecticut	Yes/Yes	6,800	350	60	12/31/2014	Both	No		
Delaware	Yes/Yes	1,200	250	63	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	Most checks conducted randomly	Data not available
District of Columbia	No/No	1,800			9/30/2014	On-sale only	No		
Florida	Yes/Yes	47,437	3,009	419	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	1,770	282
Georgia	Yes/Yes	19,787	3,655	235	6/30/2015	Both	Yes	3,655	235
Hawaii	Yes/Yes	870	699	71	6/20/2015	Both	Yes	665	71
Idaho	Yes/Yes	5,000	406	65	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	406	65
Illinois	Yes/Yes	Approx. 22,500	2,929	472	6/30/2014	Both	Yes	2,929	472
Indiana	Yes/Yes	13,695	13,102	1,158	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	13,102	1,158
Iowa	Yes/Yes	8,500	739	84	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	739	84
Kansas	Yes/Yes	3,222	479	74	6/30/2014	Both	No		
Kentucky	Yes/Yes	12,866	1,477	161	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	500	60
Louisiana	Yes/Yes	8,567	6,454	1,271	6/30/2014	Both	No		
Maine	Yes/Yes	4,000	800	75	9/30/2014	Off-sale only	Yes	800	75
Maryland	No/No								
Massachusetts	Yes/Yes	11,400	2,178	63	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	2178	63
Michigan	Yes/Yes	Approx. 17,000 licensees holding approx. 22,000 licenses	2,526	360	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	Data not available; not maintained separately.	Data not available; not maintained separately.
Minnesota	No/No						No		

\*Compliance check data provide no information on cases in which multiple checks are made on the same outlet and compliance check protocols vary by state, including the use of different underage decoy procedures as well as having different methods for outlet selection (conducting random checks versus complaint-driven checks).



### 2015 State Enforcement Data Entries

State	Conduct/Collect Data on State Compliance Checks?	# State Retail Licenses	# State Compliance Checks*	# State Checks Failed	State Compliance Checks Date	Check Both On- and Off-sale Licenses?	Conduct Random Compliance Checks?	# Random Compliance Checks	# Random Checks Failed
Mississippi	Yes/Yes	6,700 (beer and liquor retailers) approx.	78	78	12/31/2014	Both	No		
Missouri	No/No						No		
Montana	No/No	4,700			12/31/2014		No		
Nebraska	Yes/Yes	5,670	721	78	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	721	78
Nevada	No/No						No		
New Hampshire	Yes/Yes	3,166	376	60	12/31/2014	Both	Yes		
New Jersey	No/No								
New Mexico	Yes/Yes	2,700	5,850	127	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	5,850	127
New York	Yes/Yes	45,000	2,370	869	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	Data not available	Data not available
North Carolina	Yes/Yes	17,879	14	9	12/31/2014	Both	No		
North Dakota	No/No	1,589							
Ohio	Yes/Yes	23,738	1,008	212	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	1,008	212
Oklahoma	Yes/Yes	3,049	530	133	12/31/2014	Both	Data not available	Data not available	Data not available
Oregon	Yes/Yes	12,000	1,778	321	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	Cities with a population of 20,000 or more are randomly selected; cities with populations less than 20,000 are targeted.	
Pennsylvania	Yes/Yes	13,000	1,483	557	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	827	314
Rhode Island	Yes/Yes	1,700	Data not currently available	Data not currently available		Both	Yes	Data not currently available	Data not currently available
South Carolina	Yes/Yes	16,000	4,237	657	6/30/2014	Both	Yes	1,571	257
South Dakota	No/No								
Tennessee	Yes/Yes	4,107	1,647	366	12/31/2014	Both	No	—	

<b>2015 State Enforcement Data Entries</b>									
<b>State</b>	<b>Conduct/Collect Data on State Compliance Checks?</b>	<b># State Retail Licenses</b>	<b># State Compliance Checks*</b>	<b># State Checks Failed</b>	<b>State Compliance Checks Date</b>	<b>Check Both On- and Off-sale Licenses?</b>	<b>Conduct Random Compliance Checks?</b>	<b># Random Compliance Checks</b>	<b># Random Checks Failed</b>
Texas	Yes/Yes	48,295	8,732	1,035	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	Unknown - data not specific	Unknown - data not specific
Utah	Yes/Yes	1,849	1,190	173	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	1,190	173
Vermont	Yes/Yes	2,274	734	69	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	734	69
Virginia	Yes/Yes	18,171	2,871	410	6/30/2014	Both	Yes	1,502	224
Washington	Yes/Yes	14,657	2,416	316	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	2,416	316
West Virginia	Yes/Yes	5,000	1,629	389	12/31/2014	Both	Yes	2,442 as of 12/31/13	698 as of 12/31/13
Wisconsin	No/No								
Wyoming	No/No								

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries				
State	Conduct/Collect Data on Local Compliance Checks?	# Local Compliance Checks	# Local Checks Failed	Local Compliance Checks Date
Alabama	Yes/Yes	Unknown	322	12/31/2014
Alaska	No/No			
Arizona	Yes No			
Arkansas	Yes No			12/31/2014
California	Yes/No			6/30/2014
Colorado	Yes/Yes	241	19	12/31/2014
Connecticut	Don't Know/No answer			
Delaware	No/No			
District of Columbia	Yes/Yes	959	188	9/30/2014
Florida	Yes No			
Georgia	Yes No			
Hawaii	Yes/Yes	686	86	6/15/2015
Idaho	Yes/Yes	540	47	12/31/2014
Illinois	Yes No			
Indiana	No/No			
Iowa	Yes/No			12/31/2014
Kansas	Yes/No			
Kentucky	Don't Know/No answer			
Louisiana	Don't Know/No answer			
Maine	Yes/Yes	1,469	145	12/31/2014
Maryland	Yes/No			
Massachusetts	Yes/No			12/31/2014
Michigan	Yes/Yes	Data submitted to MLCC voluntarily only; full data not available	238	12/31/2014
Minnesota	Yes/No			
Mississippi	Yes/No	Unknown	Unknown	12/31/2014
Missouri	Yes/Yes	728	69	2/28/2015
Montana	Yes/Yes	Data not available	48	12/31/2014
Nebraska	Yes/Yes	1,224	108	12/31/2014
Nevada	Yes/Yes	1,082	237	12/31/2014
New Hampshire	Yes/No			
New Jersey	No/No			
New Mexico	Yes/No	Unknown	Unknown	12/31/2014
New York	Don't Know/No answer			
North Carolina	Yes/No			
North Dakota	Yes/Yes	622	80	12/31/2014
Ohio	Yes/No			12/31/2014

<b>2015 State Enforcement Data Entries</b>				
<b>State</b>	<b>Conduct/Collect Data on Local Compliance Checks?</b>	<b># Local Compliance Checks</b>	<b># Local Checks Failed</b>	<b>Local Compliance Checks Date</b>
Oklahoma	Yes/Yes	1,979	367	12/31/2014
Oregon	Yes/Yes			
Pennsylvania	No/No			
Rhode Island	Yes/No			
South Carolina	Yes/Yes	9,043	1,024	6/30/2014
South Dakota	Yes/Yes	799	68	6/30/2014
Tennessee	Yes/No			12/31/2014
Texas	Yes/No			
Utah	Yes/Yes	818	82	12/31/2014
Vermont	No/No			
Virginia	Yes/No			
Washington	Yes/No			12/31/2014
West Virginia	Yes/No			
Wisconsin	Yes/No			
Wyoming	Yes/Yes	1,215	182	12/31/2014

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries						
State	Collect Data on Fines?	# Fines	Total \$ Amount of Fines	Smallest Fine	Largest Fine	Fines Date
Alabama	No					12/31/2014
Alaska	Yes	0	\$0			6/30/2014
Arizona	Yes	173	\$92,125	\$750	\$7,000	12/31/2014
Arkansas	Yes	306	\$132,600	\$300	\$1,000	12/31/2014
California	No			\$750		6/30/2014
Colorado	Don't know					
Connecticut	Yes	150		\$500	\$7,500	12/31/2014
Delaware	Yes	Not available	Not available	\$400	\$3,000	12/31/2014
District of Columbia	Yes			\$2,000	\$10,000	9/30/2014
Florida	Yes	40	\$40,100	\$250	\$5,000	12/31/2014
Georgia	Yes	235	\$69,200	\$0	\$2,400	6/30/2015
Hawaii	Yes	45	\$50,500	\$1,000	\$2,000	6/20/2015
Idaho	Yes	104	\$95,000	\$500	\$2,000	12/31/2014
Illinois	Yes	666	\$382,600	\$500	\$10,000	6/30/2014
Indiana	Yes		\$579,000			12/31/2014
Iowa	Yes	188	\$118,000	\$500	\$1,500	12/31/2014
Kansas	Yes	244	\$182,225	\$500	\$4,500	6/30/2014
Kentucky	No					
Louisiana	Don't know					
Maine	Yes					
Maryland	Yes					
Massachusetts	Yes	21	\$117,385	\$54	\$68,323	12/31/2014
Michigan	Yes	631	\$379,702	\$0; waived	\$3,250	12/31/2014
Minnesota	No					
Mississippi	No	N/A suspensions only				12/31/2014
Missouri	Yes	266	\$64,600	\$200	\$1,000	6/30/2014
Montana	Yes	48	\$77,000	\$150	\$1,500	12/31/2014
Nebraska	Yes		\$24,100	\$50 per day that license is suspended	\$5,000	12/31/2014
Nevada	No					
New Hampshire	Yes	187	\$130,750	\$100	\$15,000	12/31/2014
New Jersey	Yes	107		\$500	\$80,000	12/31/2014
New Mexico	Yes					12/31/2014
New York	Yes	2,831	\$7,328,472	\$50	\$25,000	12/31/2014
North Carolina	Yes	321	\$461,240	\$600	\$5,000	12/31/2014
North Dakota	No					

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries						
State	Collect Data on Fines?	# Fines	Total \$ Amount of Fines	Smallest Fine	Largest Fine	Fines Date
Ohio	Yes	431	\$581,550	\$400	\$15,000	12/31/2014
Oklahoma	Yes	126		\$1,000	\$3,000	12/31/2014
Oregon	Don't know			\$660	\$4,950	12/31/2014
Pennsylvania	Yes	589	\$851,550	\$1,250	\$5,000	12/31/2014
Rhode Island	No					
South Carolina	Yes	657	\$45,880	\$50	\$15,000	6/30/2014
South Dakota	Yes	68	\$97,150	\$500	\$2,000	6/30/2014
Tennessee	Yes	393	\$471,600	\$1,000 with Identification Class conducted by ABC agent	\$1,500	12/31/2014
Texas	Yes	495	\$1,183,700	\$500	\$18,000	12/31/2014
Utah	Yes	179	\$199,975	\$100	\$15,000	12/31/2014
Vermont	Yes	6	\$2,300	\$300	\$500	12/31/2014
Virginia	Yes	436	\$801,400	\$500	\$5,000	4/30/2015
Washington	Yes	301	\$179,697	\$300	\$5,000	12/31/2014
West Virginia	Yes	370	\$174,250	\$150 as of 12/31/13	\$7,500 as of 12/31/13	12/31/2014
Wisconsin	No					
Wyoming	No					

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries									
State	Collect Data on Suspensions?	# Suspensions	Total Suspension Days	Shortest Suspension	Longest Suspension	Suspensions Date	Collect Data on Revocations?	# Revocations	Revocations Date
Alabama	Yes						Yes	Unknown	12/31/2014
Alaska	Yes			7	30	6/30/2014	Yes	0	6/30/2014
Arizona	Yes	0				12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Arkansas	Yes	3	6	2	2	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
California	No					6/30/2014	No		
Colorado	Don't know						Don't know		
Connecticut	Yes	175		1	45	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Delaware	Yes	1	5	5	5	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
District of Columbia	Don't know				No maximum	9/30/2014	Yes	2	9/30/2014
Florida	Yes	30	158	2	29	12/31/2014	Yes	3	12/31/2014
Georgia	Yes	4	8	2	2	6/30/2015	Yes	0	6/30/2015
Hawaii	Yes	1	5	0	5	5/31/2015		0	
Idaho	Yes	30	249	2	15	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Illinois	Yes	69	163	1	21	6/30/2014	Yes	69	6/30/2014
Indiana	Yes					12/31/2014	Yes		12/31/2014
Iowa	Yes	28	900	30	60	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Kansas	Yes	25	85	1	14	6/30/2014	Yes	0	6/30/2014
Kentucky	No						Yes	6	12/31/2014
Louisiana	Yes	161				6/30/2014	Don't know		
Maine	Yes						Yes		
Maryland	Yes						Yes		
Massachusetts	Yes	114	Data not available	Data not available	Data not available	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Michigan	Yes	7	12	0; waived	3	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Minnesota	No						No		
Mississippi	Yes	26	2	7	7	12/31/2013	Yes	0	12/31/2013
Missouri	Yes	20	37	1	5	6/30/2014	Yes	0	6/30/2014
Montana	Yes	3	32	3	14	12/31/2014	Yes	3	12/31/2014
Nebraska	Yes			1	15 days closed plus an additional 50 days of suspension	12/31/2014	Yes	5	12/31/2014
Nevada	No								
New Hampshire	Yes	59				12/31/2014	No		
New Jersey	Yes	107		15	150	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries									
State	Collect Data on Suspensions?	# Suspensions	Total Suspension Days	Shortest Suspension	Longest Suspension	Suspensions Date	Collect Data on Revocations?	# Revocations	Revocations Date
New Mexico	Yes					12/31/2014	Yes		12/31/2014
New York	Don't know						Yes	Data not available	12/31/2014
North Carolina	Yes	35	674	11	60	12/31/2014	No		
North Dakota	No								
Ohio	Yes	56	3,640	3	30	12/31/2014	Yes	10	12/31/2014
Oklahoma	Yes	2				12/31/2014	Yes	5	12/31/2014
Oregon	Don't know						Don't know		
Pennsylvania	Yes	43	227	1	80	12/31/2014	Yes	1	12/31/2014
Rhode Island	No						No		
South Carolina	Yes	21	360 days	15 days	45 days	6/30/2014	Yes	17	6/30/2014
South Dakota	Yes	1	14	14	14	6/30/2014	Yes	0	6/30/2014
Tennessee	Yes	41	368	5	20	12/31/2014	Yes	3	12/31/2014
Texas	Yes	676	5,862	1	60	12/31/2014	Yes	4	12/31/2014
Utah	Yes	81	644	5	27	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Vermont	Yes	22	22	1	1	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Virginia	Yes	154	3,284	3	60	4/30/2015	Yes	0	4/30/2015
Washington	Yes	70	410	3	30	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
West Virginia	Yes	39	241	2 as of 12/31/13	45 as of 12/31/13	12/31/2014	Yes	0	12/31/2014
Wisconsin	No						No		
Wyoming	No						No		



2015 State Enforcement Data Entries			
State	Have Direct Sales/Shipment Enforcement Program?	Agency Responsible for Enforcing Direct Sales/Shipment Laws	Direct Sales/Shipment Laws Enforced by Local Law Enforcement?
Alabama	Yes	Alabama Law Enforcement Agency	Don't know
Alaska	No		
Arizona	No		
Arkansas	No		
California	No		
Colorado	Yes	Colorado Dept of Revenue, Liquor Enforcement Division	No
Connecticut	Yes	Department of Consumer Protection – Liquor Control Division	Don't know
Delaware	Yes	Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Enforcement	No
District of Columbia	Yes	Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration (ABRA)	Don't know
Florida	No		
Georgia	Yes	Georgia Department of Revenue – Alcohol and Tobacco Division	No
Hawaii	Yes	County of Hawaii requires approved application for direct shipment of wine by wineries and the Kauai Department of Liquor Control.	Yes
Idaho	Yes	Idaho State Police – Alcohol Beverage Control	Don't know
Illinois	No		
Indiana	Yes	Indiana State Excise Police/Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission	No
Iowa	Yes	Iowa Alcoholic Beverages Division monitors shipment of wine to individual households. This practice is allowed provided the shipper has a Direct Shippers license, issued by this agency. Because Iowa ABD can only enforce those actually holding licenses, affecting the actions of nonlicensed entities is a struggle.	Don't know
Kansas	No		
Kentucky	Yes	Kentucky Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control	Don't know
Louisiana	Yes	Louisiana Office of Alcohol and Tobacco Control	Don't know
Maine	No		
Maryland	Don't know		
Massachusetts	Yes	Massachusetts Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission (ABCC)	No
Michigan	Yes	Michigan Liquor Control Commission (MLCC)	Don't know
Minnesota	No		
Mississippi	Yes	Mississippi Department of Revenue, Office Of Alcohol Beverage Control Enforcement	No
Missouri	Yes	Department of Public Safety, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control	No
Montana	No		
Nebraska	Yes	Nebraska State Patrol Nebraska Liquor Control Commission	No
Nevada	No		
New Hampshire	Yes	New Hampshire State Liquor Commission, Division of Enforcement & Licensing	No
New Jersey	Yes	New Jersey Office of the Attorney General, Division of Alcoholic Beverage Control	No

2015 State Enforcement Data Entries			
State	Have Direct Sales/Shipments Enforcement Program?	Agency Responsible for Enforcing Direct Sales/Shipments Laws	Direct Sales/Shipments Laws Enforced by Local Law Enforcement?
New Mexico	Don't know		
New York	Don't know		
North Carolina	No		
North Dakota	No		
Ohio	Yes	Ohio Department of Commerce, Division of Liquor Control	Don't know
Oklahoma	No		
Oregon	No		
Pennsylvania	Yes	Pennsylvania State Police, Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement	Don't know
Rhode Island	Yes	The Rhode Island Attorney General, in conjunction with the State Police and municipal police departments, is responsible for enforcing such laws.	No
South Carolina	Yes	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)	No
South Dakota	Yes	Department of Revenue	Don't know
Tennessee	Yes	Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission	No
Texas	Yes	Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission	Don't know
Utah	Yes	Utah Department of Public Safety/State Bureau of Investigation	Yes
Vermont	No		
Virginia	Yes	Virginia Dept. of Alcoholic Beverage Control's Compliance Division	No
Washington	No		
West Virginia	Yes	West Virginia ABCA	No
Wisconsin	Don't know		
Wyoming	Yes	Wyoming Department of Revenue, Liquor Division	Yes

2015 State Enforcement Data Variables		
Variable Label	Variable Question	Answers
State	Please enter your state	State names
State Agency	A.1 - Please identify the state agency/department that has PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY for ENFORCING laws designed to prevent underage drinking (e.g., sales and/or furnishing of alcohol to minors, social host laws, minor in possession).	Name of agency
	A.2 - Do state or local law enforcement agencies engage on a regular basis in any of the following (see definitions): COPS IN SHOPS: A well-publicized enforcement effort in which undercover law enforcement officers are placed in retail alcohol outlets. SHOULDER TAP: Trained young people (decoys) approach individuals outside of retail alcohol outlets and ask the individuals to make an alcohol purchase. PARTY PATROL/PARTY DISPERSAL: Operations that identify and/or safely make arrests and issue citations at underage drinking parties. UNDERAGE ALCOHOL-RELATED FATALITY INVESTIGATIONS: Investigations to determine the source of alcohol ingested by fatally injured minors.	
State Cops in Shops	State law enforcement agencies engage in Cops in Shops efforts	Yes No
State Shoulder Tap	State law enforcement agencies engage in Shoulder Tap efforts	Yes No
State Party Patrol	State law enforcement agencies engage in Party Patrol/Party Dispersal operations	Yes No
State Underage Fatality	State law enforcement agencies engage in Underage Alcohol-Related Fatality Investigations	Yes No
Local Cops in Shops	Local law enforcement agencies engage in Cops in Shops efforts	Yes No
Local Shoulder Tap	Local law enforcement agencies engage in Shoulder Tap efforts	Yes No
Local Party Patrol	Local law enforcement agencies engage in Party Patrol/Party Dispersal operations	Yes No
Local Underage Fatality	Local law enforcement agencies engage in Underage Alcohol-Related Fatality Investigations	Yes No
Collect MIP Data?	A.3 - Does your state collect data/maintain records on the number of minors found in possession?	Yes No Don't know
Number of MIP	A.3 a - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of how many minors the state found in possession (or having consumed or purchased per your state statutes) of alcohol during the most recent year for which complete data are available.	Number minors in possession
MIP Date	Period for which data in A.3 a are reported	12 months ending: MM/DD/YYYY

<b>2015 State Enforcement Data Variables</b>		
<b>Variable Label</b>	<b>Variable Question</b>	<b>Answers</b>
MIP Number Includes Local?	A.3 b - Do the data provided above include arrests/citations issued by local law enforcement agencies?	<b>Yes</b> <b>No</b> <b>Don't know</b>
Conduct/Collect Data on State Compliance Checks?	B.1 - Does your state alcohol law enforcement agency conduct underage compliance checks/decoy operations to determine whether alcohol retailers are complying with laws prohibiting sales to minors? Do you collect data on these activities?	<b>Yes/Yes</b> (Yes, we conduct these activities, and we collect data on them) <b>Yes/No</b> (Yes, we conduct these activities, but we do not collect data on them) <b>No/No</b> (No, we neither conduct these activities nor collect data on them) <b>DKNA</b> (Don't know/No answer)
Number of Retail Licenses in State	B.1 a - Based on readily available data, please provide an estimate of the number of retail licensees in your state (excluding special licenses such as temporary, seasonal, and common carrier licenses).	<b>Number of retail licensees in state</b>
Number of State Compliance Checks	B.1 b - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the number of licensees in your state upon which underage compliance checks/decoy operations were conducted by your primary state alcohol law enforcement agency. Please report on the most recent year for which you have complete data. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Number of licensees upon which state compliance checks conducted</b>
Number of State Checks Failed	B.1 c - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the number of licensees that failed these state compliance checks/decoy operations by selling or serving an alcoholic beverage to an underage individual. Please report on the most recent year for which you have complete data. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Number failed</b>
State Compliance Checks Date	B.1 d - Period for which data are reported in B.1 a and B.1 b	<b>12 months ending: MM/DD/YYYY</b>
Check Both On- and Off-sale Licenses?	B.1 e - Are the compliance checks/decoy operations conducted at both on-sale and off-sale retail establishments?	<b>On-sale only</b> <b>Off-sale only</b> <b>Both</b>
Conduct Random Compliance Checks?	B.1 f - Does your state alcohol law enforcement agency conduct random compliance checks/decoy operations?	<b>Yes</b> <b>No</b>
Number of Random Compliance Checks	B.1 g - Based on readily available data, please provide number of licensees subject to random compliance checks/decoy operations	<b>Number of licensees subject to random checks</b>
Number of Random Checks Failed	B.1 h - Please provide number of licensees that failed the random compliance checks/decoy operations.	<b>Number failed random checks</b>

2015 State Enforcement Data Variables		
Variable Label	Variable Question	Answers
Conduct/Collect Data on Local Compliance Checks?	B.2 - Do local law enforcement agencies conduct underage compliance checks/decoy operations to determine whether alcohol retailers are complying with these laws? Do you collect data on these activities?	<b>Yes/Yes</b> (Yes, local law enforcement conducts these activities and we collect data on them) <b>Yes/No</b> (Yes, local law enforcement conducts these activities, but we do not collect data on them) <b>No/No</b> No, we neither conduct these activities no collect data on them <b>DKNA</b> Don't know/No answer
Number of Local Compliance Checks	B.2 a - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the number of licensees in your state upon which underage compliance checks/decoy operations were conducted by local law enforcement agencies. Please report on the most recent year for which you have complete data. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Number licensees upon which local compliance checks conducted</b>
Number of Local Checks Failed	B.2 b - Based on readily available data, what was the TOTAL number of licensees that failed the local compliance check/decoy operations by selling or serving an alcoholic beverage to an underage individual for the most recent year for which complete data are available? (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Number failed</b>
Local Compliance Checks Date	Period for which data are reported in B.2 a and B.2 b	<b>12 months ending: MM/DD/YYYY</b>
Collect Data on Fines?	C.1 - Does your state collect data/maintain records on the NUMBER and/or TOTAL AMOUNT of FINES imposed on retail establishments for furnishing to minors?	<b>Yes</b> <b>No</b> <b>Don't know</b>
Number of Fines	C.1 a - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Number of fines</b> . Do not include fines imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no fines were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Number of fines</b>
Total \$ Amount of Fines	C.1 b - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Total Amount of Fines In Dollars Across all Licensees</b> . Do not include fines imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no fines were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Total amount of fines In dollars</b>
Smallest Fine	C.1 c - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Smallest fine imposed on a retail establishment for furnishing alcohol to minors</b> . Do not include fines imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no fines were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Smallest fine imposed</b>

2015 State Enforcement Data Variables		
Variable Label	Variable Question	Answers
Largest Fine	C.1 d - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Largest fine imposed on a retail establishment for furnishing alcohol to minors.</b> Do not include fines imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no fines were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Largest fine imposed</b>
Fines Date	C.2 Period for which data are reported in C.1 a through C.1 d	<b>12 months ending: MM/DD/YYYY</b>
Collect Data on Suspensions?	C.3 - Does your state collect data on LICENSE SUSPENSIONS imposed on retail establishments specifically for furnishing to minors?	<b>Yes No Don't know</b>
Number of Suspensions	C.3 a - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Number of Suspensions.</b> Do not include suspensions imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no suspensions were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Number of suspensions</b>
Total Suspension Days	C.3 b - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Total Days of Suspensions Across all Licensees.</b> Do not include suspensions imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no suspensions were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Total days of suspensions</b>
Shortest Suspension	C.3 c - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Shortest period of suspension imposed on a retail establishment for furnishing alcohol to minors (in days).</b> Do not include suspensions imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no suspensions were imposed in the 12-month period. (If you do not collect these particular data, please leave blank.)	<b>Shortest period of suspension</b>
Longest Suspension	C.3 d - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the following over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available: <b>Longest period of suspension imposed on a retail establishment for furnishing alcohol to minors (in days).</b>	<b>Longest period of suspension</b>
Suspensions Date	C.4 - Period for which data are reported in C.2 a through C.3 d	<b>12 months ending: MM/DD/YYYY</b>
Collect Data on Revocations?	C.5 - Does your state collect data on LICENSE REVOCATIONS imposed on retail establishments specifically for furnishing to minors?	<b>Yes No Revocation is not an enforcement option in our state Don't know</b>
Number of Revocations	C.5 a - Based on readily available data, please provide estimates of the number of revocations over the last 12-month period for which complete data are available. Do not include revocations imposed by local agencies. Enter a zero (0) if no revocations were imposed in the 12-month period.	<b>Number of revocations</b>
Revocations Date	C.6 - Period for which data are reported in C.5 a	<b>12 months ending: MM/DD/YYYY</b>

<b>2015 State Enforcement Data Variables</b>		
<b>Variable Label</b>	<b>Variable Question</b>	<b>Answers</b>
Have Direct Sales/ Shipment Enforcement Program?	D.1 - Does your state have a program to investigate and enforce the direct sales/shipment laws? Definition: DIRECT SALES/SHIPMENT LAWS: Laws which permit, regulate, or prohibit direct to consumer sales of wine, beer, or spirits via the internet or via delivery by common carrier. Direct sales laws do not address home deliveries by retailers to consumers without the use of common carriers.	<b>Yes</b> <b>No</b> <b>Don't know</b>
Agency Responsible for Enforcing Direct Sales/Shipment Laws	D.1 a - If yes, please identify the primary state agency responsible for enforcing laws addressing direct sales/shipments of alcohol to minors.	<b>Name of agency</b>
Direct Sales/ Shipment Laws Enforced by Local Law Enforcement?	D.2 - Are these laws also enforced by local law enforcement agencies?	<b>Yes</b> <b>No</b> <b>Don't know</b>